arXiv:1411.5716v1 [math.DG] 20 Nov 2014

GEOMETRIC PREQUANTIZATION ON THE PATH SPACE OF A PREQUANTIZED MANIFOLD

INDRANIL BISWAS, SAIKAT CHATTERJEE, AND RUKMINI DEY

Abstract. Given a compact symplectic manifold M, with integral symplectic form, we prequantize a certain class of functions on the path space for M. The functions in question are induced by functions on M. We apply our construction to study the symplectic structure on the solution space of Klein-Gordon equation.

Symplectic manifold, path space, quantization, Klein-Gordon equation [1]

1. Introduction

Let M be a compact symplectic manifold with a symplectic form ω such that the cohomology class of ω lies in the image of $H^2(M, 2\pi\hbar\cdot\mathbb{Z})$ in $H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$. Then one knows that there is a prequantum line bundle L with a Hermitian metric, and a connection whose curvature is $\hbar^{-1}\cdot\omega$. *Geometric prequantization* is a construction of this prequantum line bundle L equipped with a Hermitian connection. The Hilbert space of the prequantization is the space of square integrable sections of L. Here in general we assune manifold M to be compact, however many of our constructions are valid without that assumption.

Fix a Hermitian connection ∇ on L whose curvature is $\hbar^{-1}\cdot\omega$. To every $f\in C^\infty(M)$, we associate an operator $f_{\rm op} := -\sqrt{-1}\hbar\nabla_{X_f} + f$ acting on the above Hilbert space, where ∇_{X_f} is the covariant derivative along the Hamiltonian vector field X_f corresponding to f. For $f_1, f_2\in C^\infty(M)$, let $f_3 := \{f_1, f_2\}$ be the corresponding Poisson bracket induced by the symplectic form ω. Then $[f_{1\,\rm op}, f_{2\,\rm op}] = -\sqrt{-1}\hbar f_{3\,\rm op}$ [17]. This is the most important of the quantization conditions.

Let $\mathcal{P}M$ denote the path space for M; more precisely, $\mathcal{P}M$ is the space of all smooth maps $\gamma : [0,1]\longrightarrow M$. Any $f\in C^\infty(M)$ defines a C^∞ function on $\mathcal{P}M$ by

$$\widetilde{f}(\gamma) := \int_0^1 f(\gamma(t))dt. \tag{1}$$

[1] Mathsubjclass [2010] (53D50, 53D05, 53C80)

Given a symplectic form ω on M, in (9) we construct a weakly symplectic form $\widetilde{\omega}$ on $\mathcal{P}M$. We observe here that for the construction of the symplectic form $\widetilde{\omega}$, the compactness condition is not necessary. Since, the symplectic form is weak, an arbitrary C^∞ function on $\mathcal{P}M$ may not have a corresponding Hamiltonian vector field. For that reason we consider the space of functions (on path space) to be generated by finite polynomials of functions of the type in (1); that is functions on $\mathcal{P}M$ which can be written as

$$\sum_i c_i \cdot (\widetilde{f}_i)^{n_i}.$$

Corollary 2.3 describes the Hamiltonian vector fields on the space of functions defined above. We refer to [15, 3, 16] for a detail discussion about Hamiltonian vector fields on weakly symplectic spaces. In this paper, we further exhibit a Poisson structure on that class of functions on $\mathcal{P}M$. The relationship between the Poisson structure on M and the Poisson structure defined by the symplectic form $\widetilde{\omega}$ on $\mathcal{P}M$ is investigated.

We show that the symplectic form $\widetilde{\omega}$ can be expressed as the sum of the pull-back of the symplectic form ω and the exterior derivative of a certain globally defined 1-form λ on $\mathcal{P}M$. Consequently, we obtain a Hermitian connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$, given in (24), on the prequantum bundle $\mathrm{ev}_0^* L \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}M$ whose curvature is $\hbar^{-1}\widetilde{\omega}$. We emphasize here that while the line bundle over $\mathcal{P}M$ is constructed by pulling back the line bundle L on M via ev_0, the connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$ is not simply the pull-back of connection ∇. The construction of the line bundle on the loop space and its relation to geometric quantization has been discussed in [5, 12] and others. In [5] the line bundle on the loop space $\mathcal{L}M$ has been constructed exploiting the connection structure on the sheaf of groupoids over the manifold M and the curvature of that line bundle is obtained by Chen integral of a "curvature 3-form" on M [Proposition 6.2.2, [5]]. Whereas in our construction the curvature of the line bundle over $\mathcal{P}M$ is given by an "integration" of the symplectic 2-form ω on M. However, we will see in Proposition 4.2 that the 1-form λ in our path space connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$ is obtained by Chen integral of the 2-form ω on M.

There exists a certain special class of sections of the line bundle $\mathrm{ev}_0^* L$ given by the pull-backs of square integrable sections of the prequantize line bundle L, which defines a Hilbert space. Let ϕ be a function on $\mathcal{P}M$ induced by a functions on M We can associate to it an operator ϕ_{op} as follows:

$$\phi_{\mathrm{op}} := -\sqrt{-1}\hbar\widetilde{\nabla}_{\widetilde{X}_\phi} + \phi\,,$$

where $\widetilde{\nabla}$ is the above mentioned connection on the line bundle $\mathrm{ev}_0^* L$ over $\mathcal{P}M$. These operators satisfy the prequantization condition, because the curvature of the connection is $\hbar^{-1}\widetilde{\omega}$. Thus we have prequantized a certain class of functions on the path space of M.

The final section is devoted to an application of our construction. Let M be the space-time manifold with a pseudo-Riemannian metric, and let $\overline{M} := M \times S^1$ be the manifold with an additional spatial compact dimension S^1. Introduction of additional compact manifolds, popularly known as "compactification" in physics, plays a pivotal role in Kaluza-Klein theories and string theory [13, 14]. The spaces of fields on manifolds, which are solutions of certain physical theories, such as (real or complex) scalar fields, Yang-Mills fields, electromagnetic fields, have symplectic structures [17].

Though here we exclusively focus on real scalar fields given by the solutions of Klein-Gordon equation, our construction can be generalized for other theories as well. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the space of (real) scalar fields, satisfying Klein-Gordon equation, on M, and let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ be likewise for $\overline{M}$. The space $\mathcal{M}$ or $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is obviously not compact. However, since in order to construct the symplectic form on path space, we do not need to assume the compactness. The following goes through. We first construct the path space $\mathcal{PM}$ over $\mathcal{M}$ and following our constructions in preceding sections we define a symplectic form on $\mathcal{PM}$. We show that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ can be identified with a subspace of $\mathcal{PM}$. Proposition 5.2 proves that the symplectic form on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$, given by the symplectic structure as solution space of Klein-Gordon equation, coincides with the symplectic form defined by the symplectic structure on the path space of $\mathcal{M}$.

2. Poisson structure on Path space

Let $I := [0\,,1] \subset \mathbb{R}$ be the closed interval, and let M be a smooth real manifold of finite dimension. The *path space* of M, which is denoted by $\mathcal{P}M$, is the space of all smooth differentiable maps

$$\gamma \,:\, I \longrightarrow M\,.$$

For any $\tau \in [0\,,1]$, the restriction of a path γ to the sub-interval $[0\,,\tau] \subset I$ will be denoted by γ_τ. This γ_τ is called a *segment* of γ. The path space $\mathcal{P}M$ has a differentiable structure [9, 10]. The tangent space to $\mathcal{P}M$ at γ is the space of all smooth vector fields $t \longmapsto T_{\gamma(t)}M$, $t \in I$.

Let

$$\mathrm{ev} \,:\, \mathcal{P}M \times [0\,,1] \longrightarrow M\,,\quad (\gamma\,,t) \longmapsto \gamma(t)\,, \tag{2}$$

be the evaluation map. For any $t \in I$, define

$$\mathrm{ev}_t : \mathcal{P}M \longrightarrow M\,, \quad \gamma \longmapsto \mathrm{ev}(\gamma, t) = \gamma(t)\,.$$

For any C^∞ function F on $\mathcal{P}M \times [0,1]$, define a function $\overline{F}$ on $\mathcal{P}M$ by

$$\overline{F}(\gamma) := \int_0^1 F(\gamma, t)dt\,.$$

Now, given any function $f \in C^\infty\big(M\big)$, we have the function $\widehat{f} := \mathrm{ev}^* f$ on $\mathcal{P}M \times [0\,,1]$ defined by

$$\widehat{f}(\gamma, t) := f(\gamma(t))\,.$$

Let

$$\widetilde{f}(\gamma) := \overline{\widehat{f}} = \int_0^1 \widehat{f}(\gamma, t)dt = \int_0^1 f(\gamma(t))dt\,. \tag{3}$$

be the function on $\mathcal{P}M$.

Note that the map $f \longmapsto \widetilde{f}$ is injective. Indeed, if $\widetilde{f} = \widetilde{g}$, then for any $x \in M$, taking γ to be the constant map $I \longrightarrow x$, from (3) we conclude that $f(x) = g(x)$. Let

$$\Omega^0_1\mathcal{P}M \tag{4}$$

denote the space of all functions on $\mathcal{P}M$ defined by the C^∞ functions on M using (3).

Let $\Omega^0\mathcal{P}M$ denote the space of all C^∞ functions on $\mathcal{P}M$ that can be written as a finite sum of the form

$$\sum_i c_i \cdot (\widetilde{f}_i)^{n_i}\,, \tag{5}$$

where $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $f_i \in C^\infty(M)$ and n_i are nonnegative integers. Note, if $f \in C^\infty(M)$, then the pull-back function $\mathrm{ev}^*_{t_0} f$ on $\mathcal{P}M$, for any $t_0 \in [0,1] = I$ can be written as

$$\mathrm{ev}^*_{t_0} f(\gamma) = \int_0^1 f(\gamma(t))\delta(t - t_0)dt,$$

where $\delta(t-t_0)$ is the Dirac Delta function. Thus the space of functions on path space $\mathcal{P}M$ obtained by pull-back of the functions on M is a subset of $\Omega^0\mathcal{P}M$.

Take $f\,, g \in C^\infty(M)$. Observe that we have the following identity for the product of two line integrals:

$$\left(\int_0^1 f(\gamma(t))dt\right)\left(\int_0^1 g(\gamma(t))dt\right) = \tag{6}$$

$$\int_0^1 f(\gamma(t))\left(\int_0^t g(\gamma(\tau))d\tau\right)dt + \int_0^1\left(\int_0^t f(\gamma(\tau))d\tau\right)g(\gamma(t))dt\,.$$

Now, we construct a function $\widehat{f^\bullet}$ on $\mathcal{P}M\times[0,1]$ as follows:

$$\widehat{f^\bullet}(\gamma,t) := \int_0^t f(\gamma(\tau))d\tau$$

for all $t\in[0,1]$ and $\gamma\in\mathcal{P}M$. Then the right–hand side of the identity (6) is

$$\left(\int_0^1 f(\gamma(t))dt\right)\left(\int_0^1 g(\gamma(t))dt\right)$$

$$= \int_0^1 \big(\widehat{f}\cdot\widehat{g^\bullet}\big)(\gamma,t)dt + \int_0^1\big(\widehat{f^\bullet}\cdot\widehat{g}\big)(\gamma,t)dt\,.$$

Therefore, we have

$$(\widetilde{f}\cdot\widetilde{g})(\gamma) \;=\; \int_0^1(\widehat{f}\cdot\widehat{g^\bullet})(\gamma,t)dt + \int_0^1(\widehat{f^\bullet}\cdot\widehat{g})(\gamma,t)dt\,,$$

where $\widetilde{f}$ and $\widetilde{g}$ are constructed as in (3). This defines a product law on $\Omega^0\mathcal{P}M$. Also if $\widetilde{f}$ is given by

$$\widetilde{f}(\gamma) \;=\; \int_0^1 f(\gamma(t))dt\,,$$

then the de Rham differential of $\widetilde{f}$ is given by following formula [4, 9]:

$$\mathrm{d}\widetilde{f}(v)(\gamma) \;=\; \int_0^1 df(d\mathrm{ev}_t v(\gamma))dt\,, \tag{7}$$

where $v\in T\mathcal{P}M$ and $d\mathrm{ev}_t$ be the differential of the map ev_t. By Leibniz rule, (7) extends to $\Omega^0\mathcal{P}M$.

Suppose M be a compact connected symplectic manifold equipped with a symplectic form ω. Given a C^∞ function f on M, let X_f be the *Hamiltonian vector field* on M defined by

$$i_{X_f}\omega \;=\; -df\,, \tag{8}$$

where i is the the contraction of differential forms by vector fields, and d is the de Rham differential. It should be clarified that for notational convenience we will often denote a contraction by writing the vector field as an argument of the differential form:

$$i_v\alpha(-) \;\equiv\; \alpha(v\,,-)\,,$$

where α is a differential form and v is a tangent vector field on some manifold. The 2-form ω induces a Poisson structure on M. The Poisson bracket of $f, g \in C^\infty\big(M\big)$ is defined to be

$$\{f\,,g\} \,:=\, \omega(X_f\,,X_g)\,.$$

Equivalently, the Poisson bracket can be written as

$$\{f,g\} \,=\, i_{X_f}(dg)\,.$$

When there are more than one symplectic manifolds, we will denote the Poisson structure on M by $\{,\}_M$ to avoid any confusion.

Let us define a symplectic form $\widetilde{\omega}$ on $\mathcal{P}M$ as follows

$$\big(\widetilde{\omega}(v_1,v_2)\big)(\gamma) \,:=\, \int_0^1 \omega\big(d\mathrm{ev}_t(v_1(\gamma)), d\mathrm{ev}_t(v_2(\gamma))\big)dt\,. \tag{9}$$

It is straightforward to verify that $\widetilde{\omega}$ is closed and nondegenerate [4]. However, ω is symplectic only in a weak sense; that is the induced map

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\omega}^\circ :\;\; & T\mathcal{P}M \to T^*\mathcal{P}M,\\ & v \mapsto \widetilde{\omega}(v,-)\end{aligned}$$

is only injective, not surjective. Thus as observed in Section 1, an arbitrary C^∞ function on $\mathcal{P}M$ does not guarantee a corresponding Hamiltonian vector field. In what follows we show that for the class of functions defined in (5) Hamiltonian vector fields do exist. Take any $\phi \in \Omega^0\mathcal{P}M$, where $\Omega^0\mathcal{P}M$ is defined in (5), and let $\widetilde{X}_\phi \in T\mathcal{P}M$ be the Hamiltonian vector field corresponding to ϕ; if it exists then, it is uniquely determined by the equation

$$i_{\widetilde{X}_\phi}\widetilde{\omega} \,=\, -\mathrm{d}\phi\,. \tag{10}$$

We define the Poisson bracket on the path space $\mathcal{P}M$ as follows:

$$\{\phi_1,\phi_2\}_{\mathcal{P}M} \,:=\, \widetilde{\omega}(\widetilde{X}_{\phi_1},\widetilde{X}_{\phi_2})\,, \tag{11}$$

where $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \Omega^0\mathcal{P}M$ and $\widetilde{X}_{\phi_1}, \widetilde{X}_{\phi_2} \in T\mathcal{P}M$ are their respective Hamiltonian vector fields.

Now, suppose $\widetilde{f} \in \Omega^0_1\mathcal{P}M \subset \Omega^0\mathcal{P}M$, where $\Omega^0_1\mathcal{P}M$ is defined in (4). Hence $\widetilde{f}$ is given by

$$\widetilde{f}(\gamma) \,=\, \int_0^1 f(\gamma(t))dt$$

for some $f \in C^\infty M$. Observe by (7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & i_{\widetilde{X}_{\widetilde{f}}}\widetilde{\omega} \,=\, -\mathrm{d}\widetilde{f}\\ & \Rightarrow\; \widetilde{\omega}\big(\widetilde{X}_{\widetilde{f}},-\big)(\gamma) = -\int_0^1 (df(-))_{\gamma(t)}dt\,,\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where $\widetilde{X}_{\widetilde{f}} \in T\mathcal{P}M$ is the Hamiltonian vector field corresponding to $\widetilde{f}$. Using the definition of $\widetilde{\omega}$ in (9), the above equation reads

$$\int_0^1 \omega\big(d\mathrm{ev}_t(\widetilde{X}_{\widetilde{f}}(\gamma)), -\big)dt \;=\; -\int_0^1 (df(-))_{\gamma(t)}dt$$

for all $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}M$.

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose $\widetilde{f} \in \Omega^0_1\mathcal{P}M \subset \Omega^0\mathcal{P}M$ is given by*

$$\widetilde{f}(\gamma) \;=\; \int_0^1 f(\gamma(t))dt$$

where $f \in C^\infty M$. Then the Hamiltonian vector field $\widetilde{X}_{\widetilde{f}} \in T\mathcal{P}M$ corresponding to $\widetilde{f}$, given by (12)*, satisfies*

$$\big(\widetilde{X}_{\widetilde{f}}(\gamma)\big)(t) \;=\; X_f(\gamma(t))\,,$$

where $X_f \in TM$ is the Hamiltonian vector field defined in (8) *corresponding to $f \in C^\infty(M)$.*

Proof. Suppose $X_f \in TM$ is the Hamiltonian vector field corresponding to $f \in C^\infty M$. We define a vector field $\chi \in T\mathcal{P}M$ as follows:

$$\big(\chi(\gamma)\big)(t) \;:=\; X_f(\gamma(t))\,.$$

We claim that χ is the Hamiltonian vector field corresponding to $\widetilde{f}$. As Hamiltonian vector field is unique, to prove the claim, it is enough to show the χ satisfies

$$\widetilde{\omega}(\chi, v) \;=\; -\mathrm{d}\widetilde{f}(v)\,.$$

Note that by construction

$$d\mathrm{ev}_t(\chi(\gamma)) \;=\; X_f(\gamma(t))\,.$$

Hence, using the relation $\omega(X_f, v) = -df(v)$ and the definition of $\widetilde{\omega}$ in (9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^1 \omega\big(d\mathrm{ev}_t(\chi(\gamma)), -\big)dt \\
=&\int_0^1 \omega\big(X_f(\gamma(t)), -\big)dt \\
=&-\int_0^1 (df(-))_{\gamma(t)}dt,
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}M$. Thus,

$$\widetilde{\omega}(\chi, v) = -\mathrm{d}\widetilde{f}(v)$$

proving the claim. The proposition follows from the claim. □

Corollary 2.2. *If $\widetilde{f}, \widetilde{g} \in \Omega^0_1\mathcal{P}M \subset \Omega^0\mathcal{P}M$ are given by*

$$\widetilde{f}(\gamma) = \int_0^1 f(\gamma(t))dt, \quad \text{where } f \in C^\infty M,$$
$$\widetilde{g}(\gamma) = \int_0^1 g(\gamma(t))dt, \quad \text{where } g \in C^\infty M,$$

then

$$\{\widetilde{f}, \widetilde{g}\}_{\mathcal{P}M}(\gamma) \,=\, \int_0^1 \{f, g\}(\gamma(t))dt\,.$$

Proof. This follows directly from Proposition 2.1. □

Corollary 2.3. *Hamiltonian vector fields, with respect to $\widetilde{\omega}$, on $\Omega^0\mathcal{P}M$ are completely determined by the Hamiltonian vector fields, with respect to ω, on $C^\infty(M)$. More precisely, suppose $\zeta := \widetilde{f}\widetilde{g} \in \Omega^0\mathcal{P}M$, where $\widetilde{f}, \widetilde{g} \in \Omega^0_1\mathcal{P}M \subset \Omega^0\mathcal{P}M$ are given by*

$$\widetilde{f}(\gamma) = \int_0^1 f(\gamma(t))dt, \quad \text{where } f \in C^\infty M,$$
$$\widetilde{g}(\gamma) = \int_0^1 g(\gamma(t))dt, \quad \text{where } g \in C^\infty M.$$

Then the Hamiltonian vector field $\widetilde{X}_\zeta \in T\mathcal{P}M$ corresponding to ζ is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}&\big(\widetilde{X}_\zeta(\gamma)\big)(t) = \\ &\big(\int_0^1 g(\gamma(t))dt\big)\cdot X_f(\gamma(t)) + \big(\int_0^1 f(\gamma(t))dt\big)\cdot X_g(\gamma(t))\,.\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Proof. First we observe that by construction, the entire set $\Omega^0\mathcal{P}M$ is generated by $C^\infty(M)$ (see (5)). On the other hand, we have already shown in Proposition 2.1 that $\widetilde{X}_{\widetilde{f}}$ is determined by X_f, thus it is sufficient to prove (13) for our purpose.

For $\zeta := \widetilde{f}\widetilde{g}$ we have the identity

$$\widetilde{X}_\zeta \,=\, \widetilde{f}\widetilde{X}_{\widetilde{g}} + \widetilde{g}\widetilde{X}_{\widetilde{f}}\,,$$

which implies

$$\widetilde{X}_\zeta(\gamma) \,=\, \widetilde{f}(\gamma)\cdot\widetilde{X}_{\widetilde{g}}(\gamma) + \widetilde{g}(\gamma)\cdot\widetilde{X}_{\widetilde{f}}(\gamma)\,.$$

So, by Proposition 2.1 we have

$$\big(\widetilde{X}_\zeta(\gamma)\big)(t) \,=\, \big(\int_0^1 f(\gamma(t))dt\big)\cdot X_g(\gamma(t)) + \big(\int_0^1 g(\gamma(t))dt\big)\cdot X_f(\gamma(t)).$$

This completes the proof. □

Another consequence of Proposition 2.1 is the following system of identities for the Lie-bracket of Hamiltonian vector fields $\widetilde{X}_{\widetilde{f}} \in T\mathcal{P}M$ and $\widetilde{X}_{\widetilde{g}} \in T\mathcal{P}M$:

$$\left([\widetilde{X}_{\widetilde{f}}, \widetilde{X}_{\widetilde{g}}](\gamma)\right)(t) \,=\, [X_f, X_g](\gamma(t)) \,=\, \left(\widetilde{X}_{\{\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g}\}}(\gamma)\right)(t) \,=\, X_{\{f,g\}}(\gamma(t))\,,$$

where as usual

$$\widetilde{f}(\gamma) \,=\, \int_0^1 f(\gamma(t))dt \;\text{ and }\; \widetilde{g}(\gamma) \,=\, \int_0^1 g(\gamma(t))dt\,.$$

3. The symplectic potential

As before, M is a compact connected manifold equipped with a symplectic form ω. The symplectic form $\widetilde{\omega}$ on the path space $\mathcal{P}M$ is given by (9). As ω is closed, it can locally be written as $d\theta$, where θ is a 1-form defined over some open subsets of M. We call θ the *symplectic potential*. Obviously the choice of θ is not canonical. In this section we will show that the choice of a particular local symplectic potentials θ for ω naturally defines a symplectic potential for $\widetilde{\omega}$ on $\mathcal{P}M$.

Given a path $\gamma : [0,1] \longrightarrow M$ on M, we can partition the interval $[0,1]$ as $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = 1$ such that the image of the segment $\gamma|_{[t_{i-1},t_i]}$ is entirely contained in a neighborhood $U_i \subset M$ for each $i \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$, where $\omega|_{U_i} = d\theta_i$. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be the set of all such paths:

$$\mathcal{U} := \{\gamma \in \mathcal{PM} \mid \text{Image}\left(\gamma|_{[t_{i-1},t_i]}\right) \subset U_i, \text{ for each } i \in [1,n]\} \subset \mathcal{P}M.$$

It should be mentioned that such a set exists because M is compact. Now re-parametrize each interval $[t_{i-1}, t_i]$ by a $\sigma_i : [t_{i-1}, t_i] \longrightarrow [0,1]$. Define γ_i as

$$\gamma_i \circ \sigma_i \;:=\; \gamma|_{[t_{i-1},t_i]}$$

for every $\gamma \in \mathcal{U}$. Hence each $\gamma_i \in \mathcal{P}M$. Then the right–hand side of (9) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\omega}(v_1, v_2))_{(\gamma)} &= \int_0^1 \omega\big(d\text{ev}_t(v_1(\gamma)), d\text{ev}_t(v_2(\gamma))\big)dt \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} d\theta_i\big(d\text{ev}_t(v_1(\gamma)), d\text{ev}_t(v_2(\gamma))\big)dt \qquad (14) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^1 d\theta_i\big(d\text{ev}_t(v_1(\gamma_i)), d\text{ev}_t(v_2(\gamma_i))\big)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\gamma \in \mathcal{U}$. Define a 1-form β on $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{P}M$ as follows:

$$\big(\beta(v)\big)(\gamma) \,=\, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_0^1 \theta_i\big(v(\gamma_i(t))\big)dt\,. \tag{15}$$

We take note of the following identity. For a given 1-form α in M, we define a 1-form A on $\mathcal{P}M$ by

$$\big(A(v)\big)(\gamma) \,=\, \int_0^1 \alpha\big(d\mathrm{ev}_t(v(\gamma))\big)dt\,,$$

where $v \in T\mathcal{P}M$. Since the exterior derivation commutes with the pull-back operation, we have

$$\big(\mathrm{d}A(v_1, v_2)\big)(\gamma) \,=\, \int_0^1 d\alpha\big(d\mathrm{ev}_t(v_1(\gamma)), d\mathrm{ev}_t(v_2(\gamma))\big)dt\,.$$

Thus from (14),

$$\widetilde{\omega}|_{\mathcal{U}} \,=\, \mathrm{d}\beta\,.$$

Therefore, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Let ω be a symplectic form on M. Let $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = 1$ be a partition of $[0, 1]$, subject to the following conditions:*

1. *for each $i \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$, we have an open subset $U_i \subset M$ on which $\omega|_{U_i} = d\theta_i$, and*
2. *we have $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{P}M$, such that each $\gamma \in \mathcal{U}$ satisfies*

$$\mathrm{Image}\,\big(\gamma|_{[t_{i-1},t_i]}\big) \,\subset\, U_i$$

for each $i \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$.

Then the symplectic form $\widetilde{\omega}$ restricted to $\mathcal{U}$ can be written as

$$\widetilde{\omega}|_{\mathcal{U}} \,=\, \mathrm{d}\beta,$$

where β is defined in (15).

We call β to be a *potential* 1*-form* of $\widetilde{\omega}$ on $\mathcal{U}$.

4. Line bundle on the path space

Let (M, ω) be a compact connected symplectic manifold such that the cohomology class of $(2\pi\hbar)^{-1}\omega$ lies in the image of $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ in $H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$, where $\hbar = h/2\pi$, and h is the Planck constant. This implies that there exists a Hermitian line bundle $L \longrightarrow M$ and a connection ∇ on L, whose curvature is $\hbar^{-1} \cdot \omega$ [17]. Locally ∇ is given by

$$\nabla \,:=\, d - \sqrt{-1}\hbar^{-1}\theta\,,$$

where $\omega = d\theta$ on some open set $U \subset M$. In this section, we will construct a line bundle over $\mathcal{P}M$ and a connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$ on that line

bundle with curvature $\hbar^{-1}\cdot\widetilde{\omega}$, where $\widetilde{\omega}$ is the symplectic form defined in (9). Note that since the connection ∇ has curvature $\hbar^{-1}\cdot\omega$, then holonomy around a loop γ on M can be written as

$$\exp\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\int_{\Sigma}\omega\right),$$

where Σ is an orientable surface spanning loop γ. So to have a well defined holonomy, for any orientable closed surface $\Sigma_{\rm cl}$, the form ω must satisfy the following condition [17]:

$$\int_{\Sigma_{\rm cl}}\omega \;=\; 2\pi n\hbar\,, \tag{16}$$

where $n\in\mathbb{Z}$. Here, the constant factor $(2\pi\hbar)^{-1}$ in the cohomology condition has been chosen so that our construction is consistent with Dirac's prescription in quantum mechanics: If A, B are two classical observables, then their quantum mechanical counterparts, Hermitian operators $\hat{A},\hat{B}$ should satisfy

$$[\widehat{A}\,,\widehat{B}] \;=\; -\sqrt{-1}\hbar\widehat{\{A,B\}},$$

where $\{,\}$ is the Poisson bracket and $[-\,,-]$ is the commutator.

In order to construct such a line bundle on $\mathcal{P}M$, we will use a well-known identity related to *Chen integral* [9, 10]. Let us recall the definition of a first order Chen integral. Given a p-form α_p on a manifold M, we define the first order Chen integral as

$$\begin{aligned}&\left((\int_{\rm Chen}\alpha_p)(v_1,\cdots,v_{p-1})\right)(\gamma)\\ &:=\int_0^1\alpha_p\big(\dot{\gamma}\,(t),d{\rm ev}_t(v_1(\gamma)),\cdots,d{\rm ev}_t(v_{p-1}(\gamma))\big)dt\,,\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where $\gamma\in\mathcal{P}M$ and $v_1,\cdots,v_{p-1}$ are vector fields on $\mathcal{P}M$. Clearly, $\int_{\rm Chen}\alpha_p$ in the left–hand side of (17) is a $p-1$ form on the path space. Higher order Chen integrals can be defined by iteration. However we will only use the first order Chen integral, so we are not going to discuss a Chen integral in its full generality. Before proceeding further, let us clarify our notation for various integrals used here.

- We denote a first order Chen integral of a form α on M as $\int_{\rm Chen}\alpha$.
- $\int_N\alpha$ is the integral of a p-form α defined on M over the submanifold $N\subset M$ of dimension p.
- $\int_\gamma\alpha$ is the line integral along a path $\gamma:[0,1]\longrightarrow X$ of a 1-form α on some space X.

We have the following formula for the exterior derivative for a first order Chen integral (see [7, Proposition 3.1]):

$$\mathrm{d}\int_{\mathrm{Chen}}^{t}\alpha_p \;=\; \mathrm{ev}_t^*\alpha_p - \mathrm{ev}_0^*\alpha_p - \int_{\mathrm{Chen}}^{t} d\alpha_p\,, \tag{18}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}&\left((\int_{\mathrm{Chen}}^{t}\alpha)(v_1,\cdots,v_{p-1})\right)(\gamma_t)\\ &:=\int_0^t \alpha\big(\dot\gamma\ (\tau), d\mathrm{ev}_\tau(v_1(\gamma)),\cdots,d\mathrm{ev}_\tau(v_{p-1}(\gamma))\big)d\tau\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

and $\gamma_t : [0,t] \longrightarrow M$, $t\in[0,1]$.

Now consider the symplectic form ω on M. Since $d\omega = 0$, the identity (18) implies that

$$\mathrm{d}\int_{\mathrm{Chen}}^{t}\omega \;=\; \mathrm{ev}_t^*\omega - \mathrm{ev}_0^*\omega\,. \tag{20}$$

Integrating both sides of (20) we obtain

$$\mathrm{d}\lambda \;=\; \widetilde{\omega} - \mathrm{ev}_0^*\omega\,, \tag{21}$$

where λ is a global 1-form on $\mathcal{P}M$ defined using (19) as

$$\big(\lambda(v)\big)(\gamma) := \int_0^1\left(\int_0^t \omega(\dot\gamma\ (\tau), d\mathrm{ev}_\tau(v(\gamma)))d\tau\right)dt \tag{22}$$

for any vector field v on $\mathcal{P}M$; the form $\widetilde{\omega}$ is defined as in (9)

$$\big(\widetilde{\omega}(v_1,v_2)\big)(\gamma) = \int_0^1 \omega\big(d\mathrm{ev}_t(v_1(\gamma)), d\mathrm{ev}_t(v_1(\gamma))\big)dt\,,$$

with v_1, v_2 being vector fields on $\mathcal{P}M$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let ω be a symplectic form on M and $\widetilde{\omega}$ be the symplectic form on $\mathcal{P}M$ defined in* (9)*. Then $\widetilde{\omega}$ can be written as a sum of pullback of ω and a globally defined exact form:*

$$\widetilde{\omega} \;=\; \mathrm{ev}_0^*\omega + \mathrm{d}\lambda\,,$$

where λ is defined in (22)*.*

Note that if we considered some other interval $[a,b]\subset\mathbb{R}$ to define the path space as $\{\gamma : [a,b]\longrightarrow M\}$, we still could write (21) as

$$\mathrm{d}\lambda' \;=\; \widetilde{\omega}' - \mathrm{ev}_a^*\omega'\,,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big(\widetilde{\omega}'(v_1,v_2)\big)(\gamma) := \int_a^b \omega\big(v_1(\gamma(t)),v_2(\gamma(t))\big)dt\,,\\
&\omega' := (b-a)\omega\,,\\
&\big(\lambda'(v)\big)(\gamma) := \int_a^b \left(\int_a^t \omega(\dot{\gamma}\,(\tau),v(\gamma(\tau))d\tau\right)dt\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Hence, our new path space symplectic form $\widetilde{\omega}'$ would continue to be the sum of the pull-back of the symplectic form ω' and the exterior derivative of a globally defined 1-form λ' on $\mathcal{P}M$.

Let L be a complex line bundle on M, H a Hermitian structure on L and ∇ a Hermitian connection on L such that the curvature of ∇ is $\hbar^{-1}\cdot\omega$. We noted earlier that the integrality condition on the cohomology class of ω ensures that such a triple $(L\,,H\,,\nabla)$ exists.

First observe that by Lemma 4.1, the form $\widetilde{\omega}$ is the sum of pull-back of ω and an exact form $\mathrm{d}\lambda$. So using the map $\mathrm{ev}_0 : \gamma \longmapsto \gamma(0)$, we first construct the pull-back line bundle ev_0^*L on the path space $\mathcal{P}M$. The Hermitian structure H on L pulls back to a Hermitian structure on ev_0^*L. The Hermitian connection ∇ on L pulls back to a Hermitian connection $\mathrm{ev}_0^*\nabla$ on ev_0^*L. Since the curvature of ∇ is $\hbar^{-1}\cdot\omega$, we conclude that the curvature of this connection $\mathrm{ev}_0^*\nabla$ is $\hbar^{-1}\cdot\mathrm{ev}_0^*\omega$. Note that λ is a globally defined real 1-form on $\mathcal{P}M$. As the space of Hermitian connections over a complex line bundle is an affine space for the space of real 1-forms,

$$\widetilde{\nabla} := \mathrm{ev}_0^*\nabla - \sqrt{-1}\hbar^{-1}\cdot\lambda \tag{24}$$

is a Hermitian connection on the Hermitian line bundle $(\mathrm{ev}_0^*L\,,\mathrm{ev}_0^*H)$. From Lemma 4.1 we conclude that the curvature of $\widetilde{\nabla}$ is $\hbar^{-1}\cdot\widetilde{\omega}$.

Proposition 4.2. *Suppose $(L\,,H)$ be a Hermitian line bundle on M with a Hermitian connection ∇ whose curvature is $\hbar^{-1}\cdot\omega$. Then the Hermitian connection $\widetilde{\nabla} = \mathrm{ev}_0^*\nabla - \sqrt{-1}\hbar^{-1}\cdot\lambda$ on the Hermitian line bundle $(\mathrm{ev}_0^*L\,,\mathrm{ev}_0^*H)$ over $\mathcal{P}M$ has curvature $\hbar^{-1}\cdot\widetilde{\omega}$, where λ and $\widetilde{\omega}$ are constructed in* (22) *and* (9) *respectively.*

We digress here for a moment to observe that, albeit in entirely different contexts, connections similar to (24) appear for the principal G bundle $\mathcal{P}_{\overline{A}}P \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}M$, where $\overline{A}$ is a connection on a principal G bundle $P \longrightarrow M$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\overline{A}}P \subset \mathcal{P}P$ is the space of horizontally lifted paths by the connection $\overline{A}$ ([6], [8, Proposition 2.2]).



FIGURE 1. A loop Γ on path space

Here we give an explicit expression for the holonomy of the connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$. Consider a "path on path space" $\mathcal{P}M$, defined as

$$\Gamma \,:\, [0,1] \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}M\,.$$

Hence

$$\Gamma \,:\, [0,1]\times[0,1] \longrightarrow M\,,\ (s,t)\longmapsto \Gamma(s,t) := \Gamma_s(t) := \Gamma^t(s)\,.$$

We call the path $\Gamma_s : [0,1] \longrightarrow M$, obtained by fixing the first coordinate $s \in [0,1]$, a *transversal path* and the path $\Gamma^t : [0,1] \longrightarrow M$, obtained by fixing the second coordinate $t \in [0,1]$, a *longitudinal path*. We define the "line integral" of the 1-form λ on $\mathcal{P}M$, given by (22), along the path on path space Γ to be

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Gamma \lambda \,&:=\, \int_0^1 \lambda(\Gamma'(s))ds\\ &=\, \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 dt \int_0^t \omega(\dot\Gamma\,(s,\tau),\Gamma'(s,\tau))d\tau\right) ds\,,\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where $\dot\Gamma\,(s,\tau) := \frac{\partial\Gamma(s,\tau)}{\partial\tau}$ and $\Gamma'(s,\tau) := \frac{\partial\Gamma(s,\tau)}{\partial s}$. As integrations with respect to s and t are interchangeable, we can write (25) as

$$\int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 ds \int_0^t \omega(\dot\Gamma\,(s,\tau),\Gamma'(s,\tau))d\tau\right) dt\,.$$

Observe that

$$\int_0^1 ds \int_0^t \omega(\dot\Gamma\,(s,\tau),\Gamma'(s,\tau))d\tau \,=\, \int_{\Gamma|_t} \omega\,,$$

where $\Gamma|_t : [0,1] \times [0,t] \longrightarrow M$. Thus (25) reads as

$$\int_\Gamma \lambda = \int_0^1 dt \int_{\Gamma|_t} \omega \,. \tag{26}$$

A "loop" on the path space is given by a map

$$\Gamma : [0,1] \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}M$$

such that $\Gamma(0) = \Gamma(1)$. Consequently, each longitudinal path $\Gamma^t : [0,1] \longrightarrow M, t \in [0,1]$, is a loop on M based at the point

$$\Gamma^t(0) = \big(\Gamma(0)\big)(t) = \Gamma^t(1) = \big(\Gamma(1)\big)(t)\,.$$

Now let G be a parametrized "surface" on path space $\mathcal{P}M$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{G} : [0,1] \times [0,1] \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}M, \\ &(\sigma,\epsilon) \longmapsto \mathrm{G}(\sigma,\epsilon) \in \mathcal{P}M, \\ \Rightarrow &\big(\mathrm{G}(\sigma,\epsilon)\big)(t) \in M, \quad t \in [0,1]. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

So, given such a surface G on $\mathcal{P}M$, for each $t \in [0,1]$ we have a surface $\mathrm{G}_t : [0,1] \times [0,1] \longrightarrow M$ on M defined by

$$\mathrm{G}_t(\sigma,\epsilon) := \big(\mathrm{G}(\sigma,\epsilon)\big)(t)\,. \tag{28}$$

A surface G on $\mathcal{P}M$ spans a loop Γ on $\mathcal{P}M$, when for each $t \in [0,1]$ the associated surface G_t on M spans the loop Γ^t on M. Orientation of each Γ^t induces an orientation on each G_t.

Proposition 4.3. *Let θ be a symplectic potentials for the symplectic form ω on M; that is locally $\omega = d\theta$, and let ∇ be a connection on the line bundle $L \longrightarrow M$ with curvature $\hbar^{-1}\omega$. Let $\widetilde{\nabla} = \mathrm{ev}_0^*\nabla - \sqrt{-1}\hbar^{-1}\lambda$ be the connection on the line bundle $\mathrm{ev}_0^*L \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}M$, where λ as defined in* (22)*. Then the holonomy of $\widetilde{\nabla}$ around a loop Γ on $\mathcal{P}M$ is:*

(1) *when Γ is entirely contained in a single domain of θ:*

$$\exp\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\int_0^1 dt \oint_{\Gamma^t} \theta\right), \tag{29}$$

(2) *more generally,*

$$\exp\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\int_0^1 dt(\int_{\Sigma_t} \omega)\right), \tag{30}$$

where Σ_t is an oriented surface spanning Γ^t, is independent of the choice of Σ_t because of the condition in (16)*.*

Proof.

(1) Assume that Γ is contained within a single domain of θ. Note by Stokes' theorem (see Figure 1),

$$\int_{\Gamma|_t} \omega \,=\, -\oint_{\Gamma^0} \theta + \oint_{\Gamma^t} \theta\,.$$

Thus (26) implies

$$\int_\Gamma \lambda = -\oint_{\Gamma^0} \theta + \int_0^1 dt \oint_{\Gamma^t} \theta. \tag{31}$$

On the other hand the contribution due to the $\mathrm{ev}_0^*\nabla$ part is

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\int_0^1 \big(\mathrm{ev}_0^*\theta\big)(\Gamma'(s))ds \\ =&\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\int_0^1 \theta(\Gamma'(s,0))ds \\ =&\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\int_0^1 \theta(\Gamma'^0(s))ds = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\oint_{\Gamma^0}\theta. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Hence adding (31) and (32) on the exponent, we have

$$\exp\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\int_0^1 dt \oint_{\Gamma^t}\theta\right)$$

for the holonomy of $\widetilde{\nabla}$ along Γ.

(2) Now consider the general case where Γ is not contained within a single domain of θ. Suppose that G is a surface on $\mathcal{P}M$ which spans the loop Γ on $\mathcal{P}M$, as described in (27) and (28). We have already established in Proposition 4.2 that the curvature of the connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$ is $\hbar^{-1}\widetilde{\omega}$. The holonomy around Γ for the connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$ is

$$\exp\left(\sqrt{-1}\hbar^{-1}\iint \widetilde{\omega}(\partial_\sigma \mathrm{G}, \partial_\epsilon \mathrm{G})d\sigma d\epsilon\right),$$

where $\partial_\sigma \mathrm{G} := \frac{\partial \mathrm{G}(\sigma,\epsilon)}{\partial\sigma}$ and $\partial_\epsilon \mathrm{G} := \frac{\partial \mathrm{G}(\sigma,\epsilon)}{\partial\epsilon}$. Using definition of $\widetilde{\omega}$ in (9), the expression in the exponent can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sqrt{-1}\hbar^{-1}\int_0^1 dt \left(\iint \omega((\partial_\sigma \mathrm{G})(t), (\partial_\epsilon \mathrm{G})(t))d\sigma d\epsilon\right) \\ =&\sqrt{-1}\hbar^{-1}\int_0^1 dt \left(\iint \omega(\partial_\sigma \mathrm{G}_t, \partial_\epsilon \mathrm{G}_t)d\sigma d\epsilon\right); \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

in the last line we have used (28). But, by construction G spans the loop Γ on $\mathcal{P}M$, hence each surface G_t on M spans the loop

Γ^t on M. So, we have as a consequence of (16)

$$\iint \omega(\partial_\sigma \mathrm{G}_t, \partial_\epsilon \mathrm{G}_t) d\sigma d\epsilon = 2\pi n\hbar + \int_{\Sigma_t} \omega\,, \tag{34}$$

where Σ_t is an arbitrary orientable surface on M spanning Γ^t and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, from (33) we conclude the required expression

$$\exp\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\int_0^1 dt(\int_{\Sigma_t}\omega)\right).$$

This completes the proof. □

Note again, that particular choice of the interval $[0,1] \subset \mathbb{R}$ to define $\mathcal{P}M$ is not significant here. It is apparent, from (23), that any other choice of an interval $[a,b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ would be as good and in that case (29) would have been $\exp\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\int_a^b dt \int_{\Sigma_t}\omega\right)$.

Let the symplectic manifold M be of dimension $2m$. Assume that ω satisfies the earlier mentioned integrality condition. Then there exists a Hermitian line bundle $(L\,, H)$ on M equipped with a Hermitian connection with curvature $\hbar^{-1}\omega$. Following [17] we call it a *pre-quantum bundle*. Let $\mathbf{S}$ be the space of square integrable sections $s : M \longrightarrow L$ of the line bundle L, with the inner product given by

$$\langle s_1, s_2\rangle \;:=\; \int_M \langle s_1, s_2\rangle_H \omega^m\,,$$

where $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbf{S}$, ω^m is the volume form on M and $\langle\,,\rangle_H$ is the inner product with respect to the Hermitian metric H. The vector space $\mathbf{S}$ equipped with the above inner product is a Hilbert space. Now, given a section $\mathbf{S} \ni s : M \longrightarrow L$, we have a natural pull-back section $\mathrm{ev}_0^* s := \widetilde{s}$ on the pull-back line bundle $\mathrm{ev}_0^* L \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}M$:

$$\widetilde{s} \,:\, \mathcal{P}M \;\longrightarrow\; \mathrm{ev}_0^* L\,.$$

Let $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$ be the space of all such sections:

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{S}} \;:=\; \{\mathrm{ev}_0^* s \;\mid\; s \in \mathbf{S}\}\,.$$

The inner product in $\mathbf{S}$ naturally induces a inner product in $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$ given by

$$\langle \widetilde{s}_1, \widetilde{s}_2\rangle \;:=\; \int_M \langle s_1, s_2\rangle_H \omega^m\,, \tag{35}$$

where $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}} \ni \widetilde{s}_1 = \mathrm{ev}_0^* s_1$ and $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}} \ni \widetilde{s}_2 = \mathrm{ev}_0^* s_2$, $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbf{S}$. Thus $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$ defines a Hilbert space.

Let us recall the space of functions $\Omega^0\mathcal{P}M$ on path space defined in (5). In particular, $\Omega^0_1\mathcal{P}M \subset \Omega^0\mathcal{P}M$ is the space of C^∞ functions on $\mathcal{P}M$ which can be written as:

$$\widetilde{f}(\gamma) \,=\, \int_0^1 f(\gamma(t))dt$$

for all $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}M$, where $f \in C^\infty(M)$.

Let us associate an operator $\phi_{\rm op}$ with each $\phi \in \Omega^0\mathcal{P}M$, given by

$$\phi_{\rm op} \,:=\, -\sqrt{-1}\hbar\widetilde{\nabla}_{\widetilde{X}_\phi} + \phi\,,$$

where $\widetilde{\nabla}$ is the connection on the line bundle $\text{ev}_0^*L \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}M$ defined in Proposition 4.2 and $\widetilde{X}_\phi \in T\mathcal{P}M$ is the Hamiltonian vector field corresponding to ϕ defined in (10). Let $\mathbb{F}$ be the space of all such operators obtained from the functions in $\Omega^0\mathcal{P}M$. It is a straightforward verification that

$$[\phi_{1\,\rm op}, \phi_{2\,\rm op}] \,=\, -\sqrt{-1}\hbar\{\phi_1, \phi_2\}_{\rm op}\,,$$

where $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \Omega^0\mathcal{P}M$ and $\{\phi_1, \phi_2\}$ is as defined in (11). We observe that $\mathbb{F}$ has the following action on the Hilbert space $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$

$$\phi_{\rm op}\widetilde{s} \,:=\, \big(-\sqrt{-1}\hbar\widetilde{\nabla}_{\widetilde{X}_\phi} + \phi\big)\widetilde{s},$$

where $\widetilde{s} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$ and $\phi_{\rm op} \in \mathbb{F}$. Note that by construction, any $\widetilde{s} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$ is pull-back of some $s \in \mathbf{S}$.

Let $\widetilde{f} \in \Omega^0_1\mathcal{P}M \subset \Omega^0\mathcal{P}M$ be given by

$$\widetilde{f}(\gamma) \,=\, \int_0^1 f(\gamma(t))dt\,,\;\; f \,\in\, C^\infty(M)\,.$$

Then the corresponding operator $\widetilde{f}_{\rm op} \in \mathbb{F}$ is given by

$$\widetilde{f}_{\rm op} \,:=\, -\sqrt{-1}\hbar\widetilde{\nabla}_{\widetilde{X}_{\widetilde{f}}} + \widetilde{f}\,.$$

Recall by Proposition 2.1,

$$\big(\widetilde{X}_{\widetilde{f}}(\gamma)\big)(t) = X_f(\gamma(t)).$$

Let $\widetilde{s} = \text{ev}_0^*s$. Then using definition of $\widetilde{\nabla}$ in Proposition 4.2 we obtain the following expression for $\big(\widetilde{f}_{\rm op}\widetilde{s}\big)$

$$\begin{aligned}\big(\widetilde{f}_{\rm op}\widetilde{s}\big)(\gamma) \;\;=\;\; & -\sqrt{-1}\hbar\big(\nabla_{X_f}s\big)(\gamma(0)) \\ & - \left(\int_0^1 \left(\int_0^t \omega(\dot\gamma\,(\tau), X_f(\gamma(\tau))d\tau\right) dt\right) s(\gamma(0)) \\ & + \left(\int_0^1 f\big(\gamma(t)\big)dt\right) s(\gamma(0)).\end{aligned}$$

Now, suppose $\zeta := \widetilde{f}\widetilde{g} \in \Omega^0\mathcal{P}M$, where $\widetilde{f}, \widetilde{g} \in \Omega^0_1\mathcal{P}M$ are given by

$$\widetilde{f}(\gamma) = \int_0^1 f(\gamma(t))dt\,,\ \widetilde{g}(\gamma) = \int_0^1 f(\gamma(t))dt\,,$$

where $f\,, g \in C^\infty(M)$. Using (13) and the identity

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_{\widetilde{X}_\zeta} = \widetilde{g}\widetilde{\nabla}_{\widetilde{X}_{\widetilde{f}}} + \widetilde{f}\widetilde{\nabla}_{\widetilde{X}_{\widetilde{g}}}\,,$$

it is straightforward to show that:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big(\zeta_{\rm op}\widetilde{s}\big)(\gamma) = \\
&-\sqrt{-1}\hbar\Big(\int_0^1 g(\gamma(t))dt\Big)\big(\nabla_{X_f}s\big)(\gamma(0)) \\
-\ &\sqrt{-1}\hbar\Big(\int_0^1 f(\gamma(t))dt\Big)\big(\nabla_{X_g}s\big)(\gamma(0)) \\
-\ &\Big(\int_0^1 g(\gamma(t))dt\Big)\left(\int_0^1\left(\int_0^t \omega(\dot\gamma\ (\tau), X_f(\gamma(\tau))d\tau\right)dt\right)s(\gamma(0)) \\
-\ &\Big(\int_0^1 f(\gamma(t))dt\Big)\left(\int_0^1\left(\int_0^t \omega(\dot\gamma\ (\tau), X_g(\gamma(\tau))d\tau\right)dt\right)s(\gamma(0)) \\
&+\left(\int_0^1 f\big(\gamma(t)\big)dt\right)\left(\int_0^1 g(\gamma(t))dt\right)s(\gamma(0)).
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Thus (36) generates the action of entire $\mathbb{F}$ on $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$.

5. symplectic form on path space over the space of solutions

Let M be the space-time manifold of dimension $n+1$, where n is the number of space directions, equipped with a pseudo-Riemannian metric g. Coordinate system on M will be denoted as

$$x^\mu, \quad \mu = 0, 1, \cdots, n,$$

where x^0 is the time direction. Let $\overline{M} := M \times S^1$ be the manifold with an extra spatial dimension S^1. Let S^1 be parametrized by an angle $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ and has a radius R. Thus a coordinate system $\overline{x}^A, A = 0, 1, \cdots, n+1$, in $\overline{M}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overline{x}^\mu = x^\mu, \quad \mu = 0, 1, \cdots, n, \\
&\overline{x}^{n+1} = \theta.
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

The basic object of interest in this section will be collections of fields on M and $\overline{M}$, which satisfy certain equation on M and $\overline{M}$ respectively.

Here we will only consider Klein-Gordon equation on M and $\overline{M}$. However, the methodology we employ is general enough to work for other cases.

Let ∇ be a connection on M, and let $\nabla_\mu := \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu}}$ denote the covariant derivative along $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu}$. Let $g_{\mu\,\nu} := g(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\nu})$ be the components of metric g on M. Components of the inverse of metric g will be denoted as $\{g^{\mu\,\nu}\}$. Metric g induces a metric $\overline{g}$ on $\overline{M} = M \times S^1$, which in components $\{\overline{g}_{A\,B}\}, A, B = 0, \cdots, n+1$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} &\overline{g}_{\mu\,\nu}(\overline{x}) := g_{\mu\,\nu}(x), \quad \mu, \nu = 0, \cdots, n \\ &\overline{g}_{\mu\,n+1}(\overline{x}) := 0, \quad \mu = 0, \cdots, n \\ &\overline{g}_{n+1\,n+1}(\overline{x}) := -R^2, \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

where R as before is the radius of the circle S^1. Similar or somewhat more general type of metrics have already been used in studying the graviton-scalar sector for the Kaluza-Klein theory [1, 2, 11]. Let $\overline{\nabla}$ be a connection on $\overline{M}$ such that

$$\overline{\nabla}_\mu = \nabla_\mu, \quad \mu = 0, 1, \cdots, n,$$

where $\overline{\nabla}_\mu = \overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{x}_\mu}}$. Note that $\overline{\nabla}$ and ∇ can be chosen to be the metric connections corresponding to $\overline{g}$ on $\overline{M}$ and g on M respectively.

Now Consider the Klein-Gordon equation on M:

$$\Box\psi + \rho^2\psi \,=\, 0\,, \tag{39}$$

where ρ is a real constant, and $\Box := -g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the space of solutions of (39):

$$\mathcal{M} \,:=\, \{\psi : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \;\mid\; \Box\psi + \rho^2\psi = 0\}. \tag{40}$$

This $\mathcal{M}$ has a differential structure and since $\mathcal{M}$ is a vector space, tangent vector space $T_\psi\mathcal{M}$ at any $\psi \in \mathcal{M}$ can be identified with $\mathcal{M}$. Also, $\mathcal{M}$ has a symplectic structure [17, Chapter 7]; this symplectic form ω on $\mathcal{M}$ is

$$\omega(\psi_1, \psi_2) \,:=\, \frac{1}{2}\int_\Sigma \big(\psi_2\nabla_\mu\psi_1 - \psi_1\nabla_\mu\psi_2\big)\mathrm{n}^\mu d\sigma\,, \tag{41}$$

where $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\Sigma \subset M$ is a Cauchy surface with volume element $d\sigma$ and unit normal vector $\{\mathrm{n}^\mu\}$. It can be shown that the right-had side of (41) is actually independent of choice of the Cauchy surface Σ [17, Chapter 7.2].

Let $\mathcal{PM}$ denote the path space, as defined in Section 2, for $\mathcal{M}$. For $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathcal{PM}$, we have the pointwise addition $(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)(t) := \gamma_1(t) + \gamma_2(t)$, $t \in [0, 1]$. Therefore, $\mathcal{PM}$ is also a vector space.

Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ be the space of solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation on $\overline{M} = M \times S^1$:

$$\overline{\Box}\Psi + \rho^2\Psi = 0\,, \tag{42}$$

where $\overline{\Box} := -g^{AB}\nabla_A\nabla_B$, and g^{AB} are the components of inverse of $\overline{g}$ defined in (37). Thus

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}} := \{\Psi : \overline{M} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \overline{\Box}\Psi + \rho^2\Psi = 0\}. \tag{43}$$

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ are as defined in* (40) *and* (43) *respectively. Then $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ can be identified with a subspace of the path space $\mathcal{PM}$ over $\mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. We first make the following observation. Let $\Psi(\overline{x}^A) = \Psi(x^\mu, \theta)$ be a solution of (42). Then for a fixed $\theta' \in [0, 2\pi]$, the function $\Psi(x^\mu, \theta')$ is a solution of (39). Then given any $\Psi \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ we define for each $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$

$$\Psi(x^\mu, \theta) := \left(\overline{\Psi}(\frac{\theta}{2\pi})\right)(x^\mu). \tag{44}$$

Hence, for every $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, $\overline{\Psi}(\frac{\theta}{2\pi})$ is in $\mathcal{M}$, in other words,

$$\overline{\Psi} : [0, 1] \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \Rightarrow \overline{\Psi} \in \mathcal{PM}.$$

On the other hand, for each $t \in [0, 1]$, we define $\theta := 2\pi t$, and for a $\gamma' \in \mathcal{PM}$,

$$(\gamma'(t))(x^\mu) := \Psi'(x^\mu, \theta)\,. \tag{45}$$

If $\Psi'(x^\mu, \theta)$ is a solution of (42) then we identify γ' with $\Psi' \in \mathcal{M}$. Hence by (44) and (45) we identify $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ with a subspace of $\mathcal{PM}$. □

Following (9) we define the symplectic form on $\mathcal{PM}$ as

$$\widetilde{\omega}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) := 2\pi R \int_0^1 \omega\big(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t)\big)dt\,, \tag{46}$$

where $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathcal{PM}$, and ω is the symplectic form on $\mathcal{M}$ defined in (41). Next we show that $\widetilde{\omega}$ restricted to $\overline{\mathcal{M}} \subset \mathcal{PM}$ coincides with the symplectic form $\overline{\omega}$ obtained directly from the solution space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$. Thus $\overline{\omega}$ is given by

$$\overline{\omega}(\Psi_1, \Psi_2) := \frac{1}{2}\int_{\overline{\Sigma}}\big(\Psi_2\overline{\nabla}_A\Psi_1 - \Psi_1\overline{\nabla}_A\Psi_2\big)\overline{\mathrm{n}}^A d\overline{\sigma}\,, \tag{47}$$

where $\Psi_1, \Psi_2 \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$, $\overline{\Sigma} \subset \overline{M}$ is a Cauchy surface with volume element $d\overline{\sigma}$ and unit normal vector $\{\overline{\mathrm{n}}^A\}$. Again, $\overline{\omega}$ does not depend on the

choice of the Cauchy surface $\overline{\Sigma} \subset \overline{M}$. Putting (41) in (46) we obtain

$$\widetilde{\omega}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \,=\, 2\pi R \int_0^1 Z(t)dt\,, \tag{48}$$

where

$$Z(t) \,=\, \frac{1}{2}\int_\Sigma \big((\gamma_2(t))(x)(\nabla_\mu \gamma_1(t))(x) - (\gamma_1(t))(x)(\nabla_\mu \gamma_2(t))(x)\big)\mathrm{n}^\mu d\sigma\,,$$

$\Sigma \subset M$ is a Cauchy surface with volume element $d\sigma$ and unit normal vector $\{\mathrm{n}^\mu\}$. By change of variable $t \,=\, \frac{\theta}{2\pi}$, the right–hand side can be written as

$$\widetilde{\omega}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \,=\, R\int_0^{2\pi} Z'(\theta)d\theta,$$

where

$$Z'(\theta) =$$
$$\frac{1}{2}\int_\Sigma \left(\big(\gamma_2(\frac{\theta}{2\pi})\big)(x)\big(\nabla_\mu \gamma_1(\frac{\theta}{2\pi})\big)(x) - \big(\gamma_1(\frac{\theta}{2\pi})\big)(x)\big(\nabla_\mu \gamma_2(\frac{\theta}{2\pi})\big)(x)\right)\mathrm{n}^\mu d\sigma.$$

If $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \overline{\mathcal{M}} \subset \mathcal{PM}$, then by Proposition 5.1, we have $\gamma_1(\frac{\theta}{2\pi})(x) = \Psi_1(x,\theta)$ and $\gamma_2(\frac{\theta}{2\pi})(x) = \Psi_2(x,\theta)$, where $\Psi_1, \Psi_2 \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$. Hence

$$\widetilde{\omega}(\Psi_1, \Psi_2) \,= \tag{49}$$
$$R\int_0^{2\pi}\left(\frac{1}{2}\int_\Sigma \big(\Psi_2(x,\theta)\nabla_\mu \Psi_1(x,\theta) - \Psi_1(x,\theta)\nabla_\mu \Psi_2(x,\theta)\big)\mathrm{n}^\mu d\sigma\right)d\theta.$$

Observe that if $\Sigma \subset M$ is a Cauchy surface with volume element $d\sigma$ and unit normal vector $\{\mathrm{n}^\mu\}$, then $\overline{\Sigma}' := \Sigma \times S^1 \subset \overline{M} = M \times S^1$ is a Cauchy surface of $\overline{M} = M \times S^1$. Volume form on $\overline{\Sigma}' := \Sigma \times S^1$ is $d\overline{\sigma}' \,=\, Rd\sigma d\theta$ and the unit normal vector is given by $\{\overline{\mathrm{n}}'^{\,A}\} = \{\mathrm{n}^\mu, 0\}$. Thus (49) can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}&\widetilde{\omega}(\Psi_1, \Psi_2) \,= \\ &\frac{1}{2}\int_{\overline{\Sigma}'} \big(\Psi_2(x,\theta)\overline{\nabla}_A \Psi_1(x,\theta) - \Psi_1(x,\theta)\overline{\nabla}_A \Psi_2(x,\theta)\big)\overline{\mathrm{n}}'^{\,A} d\overline{\sigma}' \\ =&\frac{1}{2}\int_{\overline{\Sigma}'} \big(\Psi_2(\overline{x})\overline{\nabla}_A \Psi_1(\overline{x}) - \Psi_1(\overline{x})\overline{\nabla}_A \Psi_2(\overline{x})\big)\overline{\mathrm{n}}'^{\,A} d\overline{\sigma}'\,.\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

Since $\overline{\omega}$ in (47) does not depend on the choice of the Cauchy surface $\overline{\Sigma}$, we conclude the following by comparing the right–hand sides of (47) and (50).

Proposition 5.2. *By Proposition 5.1 we identify $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ with a subspace of $\mathcal{PM}$. Then the restriction of the symplectic form $\widetilde{\omega}$, defined in* (46)*, to $\overline{\mathcal{M}} \subset \mathcal{PM}$ coincides with the symplectic form $\overline{\omega}$ given in* (47)*.*

Acknowledgments

Authors thank the anonymous referee for carefully reading the manuscript. Chatterjee acknowledges fellowship from the *Jacques Hadamard Mathematical Foundation.*

References

[1] T. Appelquist and A. Chodos, *Quantum Effects in Kaluza-Klein Theories*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **50** (1983) 141–145.
[2] D. Bailint and A. Love, *Kaluza-Klein theories*, Rep. Prog. Phys. **50** (1987) 1087–1170.
[3] D. Bambusi, *On the Darboux theorem for weak symplectic manifolds*, Porc. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1999), no. 11, 3383–3391
[4] I. Biswas and S. Chatterjee, *Geometric Structures on Path Spaces*, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **8** (2011) 1553–1569. *Geometric Structures on Path Spaces*, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **8** (2011) 1553–1569.
[5] J. Luc Brylinski, *Loop spaces, characteristic classes and geometric quantization*, Progress in Mathematics, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1992.
[6] A. S. Cattaneo, P. Cotta-Ramusino and M. Rinaldi, *Loop and path spaces and four-dimensional BF theories: Connections, holonomies and observables*, Comm. Math. Phys. **2**04 (1999) 493–524.
[7] S. Chatterjee, A. Lahiri and A. N. Sengupta, *Negative forms and path space forms*, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **5** (2008) 573–586.
[8] S. Chatterjee, A. Lahiri and A. N. Sengupta, *Parallel Transport over Path Spaces*, Rev. Math. Phys. **9** (2010), 1033–1059.
[9] K.-T. Chen, *Iterated integrals of differential forms and loop space homology*, Ann. of Math. **97** (1973) 217–246.
[10] K.-T. Chen, *Iterated path integrals*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **8**3 (1977) 831–879.
[11] P. G. O. Freund, *Kaluza-Klein Cosmologies*, Nucl. Phys. B **209** (1982) 146–156.
[12] K. Gawedzki, *Topological actions in two-dimensional quantum field theory*, in Nonperturbative Quantum Field Theories, ed. G't Hooft, A. Jaffe, G. Mack, P. K. Mitter, R. Stora, NATO Series **185** (1988), 101–142, Plenum Press.
[13] M. Green, J. H. Schwarz and E. Witten, *Superstring theory Vol. 2: Loop amplitudes, anomalies and phenomenology*, Cambridge University Press, 1987.
[14] B. R. Greene, *String Theory on Calabi-Yau Manifolds*, arXiv:hep-th/9702155.
[15] J. E. Marsden, *Darbouxs Theorem Fails for Weak Symplectic Forms.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **32**, (1972) 590–592
[16] D. McDuff, D. Salamon, *Introduction to symplectic topology*, Oxford Science, 1995.
[17] N. M. J. Woodhouse, *Geometric quantization*, The Clarendon press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1992.

School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research,,
Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai 400005, India, indranil@math.tifr.res.in

Department of Mathematics, Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques,,
35 Route de Chartres, Bures-sur-Yvette 91440, France, saikat.chat01@gmail.com

School of Mathematics, Harish Chandra Research Institute,, Jhusi,
Allahabad 211019, India, rukmini.dey@gmail.com